TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

May 14, 1999 LB 865

litigation over the commission's, talking about that involved with the nuclear waste facility, in addition to the litigation over the commission's deadline, which brought and lost before Judge Urbom, there have been five other suits that specifically warrant discussion. Then he lays them out by title, and you all can get copies of this, but he goes on to say the following: In each instance, the suit was brought by Nebraska and closely related political subdivisions against the commission or US Ecology. In general...oh, Nebraska and these parties lost every one of those cases. In general, all the suits lacked merit. In one case, Nebraska's suit was so lacking in merit that I concluded the motion for sanctions is generally meritorious, sanctions against the Attorney General, who asked Senator Bromm to bring that bill against inmates. The Judge moes on to say: I observed that there appeared to be a coordinated litigation strategy and the state of Nebraska and its constituent political bodies are not entitled to wage what might be characterized as hit-and-run gorilla warfare by filing multiple lawsuits on the same claim in order to frustrate performance of the compact. That's what your Attorney General has done. Then he wants to come in here and say deny inmates access to the courts because they file lawsuits and whip him And I will resist any effort along that line. You've never heard anybody come in here and say that businesses ought not to be able to file more than a certain number of lawsuits, or any of those outfits which have money and clout. you take the most vulnerable, lacking in rights group, namely, prisoners, you want to take away from them that which has always been viewed as an escape hatch for all types of frustrations, the only means to obtain redress. And what former, he's now dead, U.S. Justice of the Supreme Court, William O. Douglas, said, we do get a lot of filings, but among those stacks of papers are some very crucial constitutional rights and issues that need to be addressed by this court, meaning the U.S. Supreme Court. You don't solve problems by denying people the opportunity and the right to seek addressing of those problems by the court. What would you rather have, an inmate or inmates addressing the courts to resolve these problems, or feeling that since they have no access to the courts they have to take matters into their own hands? And that has happened in some places. So I'm not of a mind...